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The Red Pencil
by Matthew Granovetter
$\qquad$


Here's another convention you can put a red pencil through and never look back. The idea of Puppet Stayman is for responder to learn about a 2 NT opener's 5 -card major. You bid 3\& and opener bids a five-card major but bids $3 \downarrow$ or 3 NT without a fivecard major, $3 \star$ promising at least one fourcard major. Over $3 \downarrow$, responder can now bid the major he doesn't have, to say that he has the other four-card major - this rightsides the contract if there is a $4-4 \mathrm{fit}$.

The advantage of Puppet Stayman is that responder can locate a 5-3 major fit when opener has a five-card major. The disadvantages of Puppet Stayman, however, are many and not worth the advantage. Let's list them:
(1) It allows fourth hand to double for a lead.

| South | West | North | East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 NT | pass | $3 \&$ | double |

North was looking for a $5-3$ fit, but East has the $\% \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}$ and gets his lead.

| South | West | North | East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 NT | pass | $3 \&$ | pass |
| $3 \leqslant$ | pass | $3 \uparrow$ | double |

This time North has four hearts and must bid 3A to show them. East has only the $\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{J}-10-\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}$, but his partner has king
doubleton and the spade lead beats 3 NT .
(2) It tells the defenders gratuitous information about opener's hand, helping them on defense.

| South | West | North | East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 NT | pass | $3 \boldsymbol{\sim}$ | pass |
| $3 \boldsymbol{A}$ | pass | 3 NT | (all pass) |

West was about to lead a spade from the $Q-10-8-3$, but when he hears about South's five-card spade suit, he doesn't.

| South | West | North | East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 NT | pass | $3 \%$ | pass |
| 3 NT | (all pass) |  |  |

North was looking for a five-card major, and South denied one but also denied a four-card major. This will surely make the defense easier.
(3) The convention takes away other important bids from responder.

| South | West | North | East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 NT | pass | $3 \%$ | pass |
| $3 \leqslant$ | pass | 3 M |  |

Most of us play this as Smolen, showing four cards in the major bid and five in the other. Using Puppet Stayman, responder does not have these bids available, because they show four cards in the other major.

Puppet players use the following method:

| South | West | North | East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 NT | pass | 3 | pass |
| $3 \backsim$ | pass | $3 \uparrow$ |  |

Responder transfers to $3 \boldsymbol{r}$ and bids $3 \boldsymbol{A}$ to show four spades and five hearts, using up a useful bid and at the same time wrong-siding the contract if opener has four spades.

When responder has five spades and four hearts, there is nothing responder can do. Some Puppet pairs give up on ever showing five spades and four hearts, and other Puppet pairs employ more artificial bids over $3 \&$. They rebid $3 \$$ with one or both four-card majors and 30 with no four-card major. This permits responder to show five spades but wrong-sides the contract again!

Puppet players who use the artificial 30 rebid play that a 3 A rebid shows five spades and 3NT five hearts. Now responder must transfer back to $4 \boldsymbol{4}$, adding more artificiallity and taking away the natural $4 \diamond$ rebid.

## The Natural Alternative

Just because you don't play Puppet Stayman does not mean you must lose your 5-3 major fits. Often you can bring the five-card major into the picture by simply opening it at the one level. Yes, it's true, you can bid it yourself and even stop low if partner has nothing.

| Opener | Responder |
| :---: | :---: |
| A AK | A Jxxx |
|  | $\checkmark \mathrm{xx}$ |
| - Axx | - Q Jxx |
| \& K J x | $\%^{\circ} \mathrm{xxx}$ |

One heart is a nice contract, nu?

A one-spade opening bid might also work a lot better than a 2 NT opening bid when partner has a good hand. For example, suppose partner has a spade fit and short diamonds:

| Opener | Responder |
| :---: | :---: |
| A AKxxx | A Q Jxx |
| $\checkmark$ A Q ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\checkmark$ KJxx |
| - Axx | - x |
| \& $\mathrm{K} x$ | \& $\mathrm{AJxx}^{\text {J }}$ |

After $1 \boldsymbol{A}-4$, you bid KCB and land in 7 A with ease. Do you think it's easy to get to $7 \boldsymbol{A}$ after 2NT-3か-3A?

Or suppose the two hands look like this:

| Opener | Responder |
| :---: | :---: |
| A AK | A Jxx |
| $\checkmark$ A ${ }^{\text {dxx }}$ | $\bullet 10 \mathrm{xxx}$ |
| - Axx | - x |
| ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K} 10 \mathrm{x}$ | \& Qxxx |

You're on a finesse for 4V, but if you open 2NT, you might play it there, down one!

And finally sometimes you will open 2NT with a five-card major and avoid the 5-3 major-suit fit and get lucky (you are allowed to get lucky sometimes):

| Opener | Responder |
| :---: | :---: |
| A Axxxx | A Kxx |
| $\checkmark \mathrm{Kx}$ | $\checkmark \mathrm{J} 10 \mathrm{x}$ |
| - A Q J | - K 10 xxx |
| * AJx | \% $x$ x |

Here 3NT makes nine tricks after a heart lead and probably after a club lead. But 4^ is a tougher contract and goes down quickly if spades are 4-1.

Have a good month!

# Are You Thinking Logically? 

by Marshall Miles

| West dealer | North |
| :--- | :--- |
| All vul | か K 875 |
|  | 『A 3 |
|  | $\bullet$ K 4 |
|  | \& K Q J 10 7 |

You lead the $\$ 5$, your third best diamond (third best from even, lowest from odd). Partner wins the queen and ace. What diamond do you play on the second round?
West (you)
. 4

- K7
- J 652
\& $A 98643$


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pass | $1 \uparrow$ | $1 \star$ | $1 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}$ |
| 2 | $3 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}$ | pass | $4 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}$ |

## Solution

The danger is that partner will lead a singleton club, hoping to ruff a club. Partner has no clue that you hold six clubs and that he can be overruffed. If you play your smallest remaining diamond to show you started with four, partner will almost surely lead a club if he has a singleton. If you play your next to highest remaining diamond, that is a wishy-washy signal, meaning you don't know what you want partner to do. I think you should play the jack. Then if partner has the queen or $\mathrm{J}-10$ of hearts, a heart lead will set the contract, whenever it can be set.

Even if declarer has the $\mathrm{Q}-10-(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x})$, he may misguess and play the queen. Is there a chance that declarer has $10-\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}$, and playing the jack of diamonds would allow him to discard dummy's small heart on the ten? (or the $10-9$-x if declarer plays the ten on
the second round? Not likely, since partner would be unlikely to overcall a four-card diamond suit, vulnerable, with at least five hearts in his hand. Where are the hearts?

No matter what you do, partner probably won't guess your actual distribution, but the violent signal in diamonds should persuade him to lead a heart. You might hold the king of hearts and ace of trumps, for example.

The second problem (when you have the singleton $(\mathrm{K})$ is slightly tougher. It is still right to play the $\$ \mathrm{~J}$ to prevent partner from shifting to a singleton club. Partner actually held: AJ3 Q8542 AQ874 \& 2, and since you were a passed hand, he thought there was little chance to buy the contract, not to mention make a game, so he overcalled diamonds for the lead.

## Sneak Preview



Order from Bridgetoday.com $-\$ 10.95$

COMPETITIVE
"SHOW AND TELL" AUCTIONS

Problem
South dealer • Both vul
You, West, hold:
A-

- KQJ 108
AQ94
$\& 10765$

| South | West | North | East |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \%$ | $1 \vee$ | 1 A | $2 \vee$ |
| $2 \boldsymbol{n}$ | ? |  |  |

Your call.

## Solution

South dealer
Both vul

| West | East |
| :---: | :---: |
| A- | A 964 |
| - K Q J 108 | $\bullet$ A 54 |
| - A Q9 4 | -1086532 |
| \& 10765 | \& 4 |

South
A AK 105
$\vee 963$

- 7
\& K Q 932

| South | West | North | East |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \%$ | $1 \checkmark$ | 1 A | 2 - |
| $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ | 3 | $4 \boldsymbol{\sim}$ | 5 |
| pass | pass | double | (all pass) |

Opening lead: $\uparrow \mathrm{Q}$

Using the principle of "show and tell," West bid 3 at his second turn and East had no problem bidding $5 \diamond$ over North's
jump to game. As you can see, 11 tricks were cold, so East-West scored +750 . The best North-South could do was save in 5 A down one, which would have been a poor score for them anyway, because most NorthSouth pairs were allowed to play in 4A. making 620 or 650 .

The theory behind "show and tell" is that in competitive auctions, it's best to honestly describe your hand so partner can evaluate his cards and know what to do later. West expected to hear the opponents bid spades again, and wanted his partner to have as much information as possible so he would know what to do. With a different type of hand, say
 know to defend 4A, lead diamonds, and perhaps take two diamonds and a diamond ruff, plus a heart trick, to set their game.

Lesson: In a competitive auction, after you and partner have bid and raised a suit, you should try to describe your hand further by bidding a second suit.

Bulldogs Vs. Stallions<br>by Pamela Granovetter

The Spingold Final (third quarter)


Chicago, Summer Nationals, Spingold Teams Final - At the end of the half, Jimmy Cayne was reported to have given Nick Nickell a lift home (they both live in Manhattan) ... in his private jet. That left the Nickell Bulldogs (Hamman-Soloway and Meckwell) to fight it out with Cayne's

Italian Stallions (Versace-Lauria and Fan-toni-Nunes). It was expected to be quite a "rumble"!

The battle started with a push when Fantoni-Nunes and Meckwell, sitting NorthSouth, both reached a 25 -point 3 NT game that failed by two tricks:

West dealer

| None vul | A K 3 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | - K Q 1073 |
|  | - A 9 |
|  | \& J 1032 |

West
A 754

- 86
- Q 10843
\& K 87
North
AK 3
『 K Q 1073
\& J 1032

East
A AJ 9

- J 942
- KJ 75
\& 64
South
A Q 10862
$\checkmark$ A 5
- 62
\& A Q 95

Open Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Soloway | Fantoni | Hamman | Nunes |
| - | 1 NT | pass | $2 \downarrow$ |
| pass | $2 \uparrow$ | pass | 3 NT |
| (all pass) |  |  |  |

Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Versace | Meckstroth |  | Lauria |
| - | 1 | Rodwell |  |
| pass | $2 \&$ | pass | 1 |
| pass | 2 NT | pass | 3 NT | (all pass)

Perhaps more delicate bidding would have led to a 5-2 major-suit contract. In the Open room, the Stallions had no chance after North's 1NT opening. But Meckwell had a chance if, after the fourth-suit forcing bid of $2 \diamond$, Meckstroth had bid $2 \wedge$ instead of 2 NT , or if Rodwell had shown his club support over 2 NT with a $3 \%$ raise. In any case, stallions and bulldogs do not play 5-2 fits when 3 NT is possible.

On Board 2, the Bulldogs' 7-imp lead dwindled to 4 when both pairs reached a second unmakable 3NT game, down an extra vulnerable trick for Nickell when Meckstroth chose to eschew a finesse that was working:

| East dealer | North |
| :---: | :---: |
| N -S vul | A AKQ 103 |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q97 |
|  | - 72 |
|  | \& J 74 |
| West | East |
| A 98765 | A 2 |
| - K 852 | - J 43 |
| -9 | -K543 |
| * A Q 6 | \& K 10532 |
|  | South |
|  | A J 4 |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 106 |
|  | - A Q J 1086 |
|  | \& 98 |

Open Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Soloway | Fantoni | Hamman | Nunes |
| - | - | pass | 2 |
| pass | $2 \uparrow$ | pass | 2 NT |
| pass | $3 \star$ | pass | 3 NT |
| (all pass) |  |  |  |

The Fantoni-Nunes two-bids show a good 10-14 points. Soloway did well to avoid the heart lead, which would have given the contract. He led a spade instead. Declarer

won in dummy, finessed diamonds, led a spade to dummy (bad news there), cashed spades and led a diamond for a finesse again. But diamonds did not split either, so he had only eight tricks.

Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Versace | Meckstroth | Lauria | Rodwell |
| - | - | pass | 1 |
| 1 a | pass | pass | 2 |
| pass | 3 NT | (all pass) |  |

Here North was declarer, so the defenders took the first five club tricks. Then East shifted to a heart. Declarer went up with the ace, cashed five spades and led a diamond to the ace, playing West to hold the $\boxtimes \mathrm{K}$ and $\upharpoonright \mathrm{K}$ (which would have been singleton all along).

The Bulldogs proceeded to pick up 16 imps on the next three boards when Ver-sace-Lauria bid three no-play games, while Soloway-Hamman defended once and stopped in partscores twice to go plus on all three boards. Here they are:

Board 3 • South dealer • E-W vul

| West | East |
| :---: | :---: |
| A 985 | A K Q J 1064 |
| - K Q 86 | $\checkmark$ A943 |
| - Q 107 | -98 |
| \& 1065 | \& 9 |


| South | West | North | East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rodwell | Versace | Meckstroth Lauria |  |
| 1 NT | pass | pass | $2 \leqslant$ (majors) |
| 2 NT | pass | $3 \boldsymbol{\&}$ | $3 \uparrow$ |
| pass | $4 \uparrow$ | (all pass) |  |

Board 4 • West dealer • All vul

| West | East |
| :---: | :---: |
| A 75 | AK632 |
| - AK Q 9876 | $\checkmark 43$ |
| -76 | - K J 109 |
| \& 86 | \& A 107 |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Versace | Meckstroth | Lauria | Rodwell |
| 1 | pass | $1 \sim$ | double |
| $2 \sim$ | pass | 2 NT | pass |
| 3 NT | (all pass) |  |  |

Board 5 • North dealer • N-S vul

| West | East |
| :---: | :---: |
| A 865 | A QJ9 4 |
| - K 7 | $\checkmark$ AJ 54 |
| - A 102 | - J 7 |
| \& A 7432 | \& Q J 9 |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Versace | Meckstroth Lauria | Rodwell |  |
| - | pass | $1 \&$ | pass |
| $2 \&$ (inv) | pass | 2 | pass |
| 3 NT | (all pass) |  |  |

Opening lead: ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{J}$

The Bulldogs' lead was up to 20 when Fantoni-Nunes turned the tide on Board 6 by reaching a remarkable $6 \boldsymbol{A}$ contract with:

North
A Q 764

- K
- QJ 10765
\& 72

South
A AK 103
-Q63

- AK
\&) A 543

They took 15 bids to get there, and very few people on this earth understand what the bids meant. With spades and diamonds both breaking 3-2, there was no killing lead and no defense to stop the slam, so that was +980 for Cayne. At the other table, the auction was a pedestrian puppet Stayman auction:

Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Versace | Meckstroth Lauria | Rodwell |  |
| - | - | pass | 2 NT |
| pass | $3 \boldsymbol{\&}$ | pass | 3 |
| pass | $3 \boldsymbol{\sim}^{*}$ | pass | $3 \uparrow$ |
| pass | $4 \uparrow$ | (all pass) |  |

*shows four spades

That was a well-deserved 11 imps for the Stallions, and the Bulldog lead was down to 9.

Lauria-Versace freely overbid (yet again) on Board 7, reaching a three-spade contract that should have been beaten, while Ham-man-Soloway stopped safely in two. But Rodwell allowed himself to be squeezed, to lose an imp instead of gaining 5 . Here is the hand:

| South dealer All vul | North |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | A AK 2 |
|  | -KQ10754 |
|  | - J 9 |
|  | \& 107 |
| West | East |
| A Q J 1094 | A 853 |
| $\checkmark 62$ | - A J 3 |
| - A 10854 | -K63 |
| \& 5 | \& K Q J 2 |
|  | South |
|  | - 76 |
|  | $\checkmark 98$ |
|  | - Q 72 |
|  | \& A98643 |

Open Room:

| South | West | North | East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nunes | Soloway | Fantoni | Hamman |
| pass | pass | $2 \downarrow$ | pass |
| pass | $2 \uparrow$ | (all pass) |  |

Hamman (East) decided to pass out his partner's balancing 2A bid, since Soloway was a passed hand.

| Closed Room: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| South | West | North | East |
| Rodwell | Versace | Meckstroth Lauria |  |
| pass | pass | $1 \downarrow$ | 1 NT |
| pass | $2 \downarrow$ | pass | $2 \uparrow$ |
| pass | $3 \uparrow$ | pass | $3 \uparrow$ |
| (all pass) |  |  |  |

At this table, Lauria made a light overcall of 1NT and Versace invited game, transferring to spades and then to diamonds. Rodwell led the $\geqslant 9$.

Lauria won the queen with the ace and led a trump to North's king. Meckstroth cashed the $\checkmark \mathrm{K}$ and led a third heart to let his partner ruff away the jack. Declarer overruffed in dummy and led a club to the king and ace. At this point, Rodwell returned a club, but had to switch to diamonds to defeat the contract.

Declarer won the club in hand, throwing a diamond, and led another round of trump to North's ace, as South threw a club. Meckstroth returned his last trump. and Rodwell threw another club. Declarer won in dummy and led another trump, throwing a diamond from his hand. South was squeezed.

If South had returned a diamond when in with the $\% \mathrm{~A}$, his partner can return another diamond safely when in with the trump ace, breaking up the squeeze. It's not an easy play to find, since Rodwell could not be sure of the distribution. Perhaps his partner held three clubs and one less spade. He needed the $\geqslant \mathrm{J}-9$ doubleton in the North hand, specifically, for the diamond shift to work.

The Stallions took the lead on Board 8 when Versace-Lauria's aggressive bidding finally paid off, but it was really a strange systemic triumph:

| West dealer | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None vul | A A 2 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 752$ |  |  |
|  | - Q 1072 |  |  |
| \& Q973 |  |  |  |
| West |  |  | East |
| A K Q 63 |  |  | A J 10985 |
| $\checkmark$ AKQJ |  |  | $\checkmark 963$ |
| - A |  |  | - J 984 |
| \& A K 62 |  |  | \& J |
| South |  |  |  |
| A 74 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 1084$ |  |  |  |
| -K653 |  |  |  |
| \& 10854 |  |  |  |
| Open Room: |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Soloway | Fantoni | Hamman | Nunes |
| $1 \%$ (strong) | pass | $1 \leqslant$ | pass |
| $2 \checkmark$ | pass | $3 \%$ | pass |
| 3 n | pass | 4 - | (all pass) |

Handling monster 4-4-4-1 hands has always been difficult. In the Soloway-Hamman auction, Soloway jump shifted to hearts, Hamman gave a double negative and Soloway showed his spades. Hamman
was left with little alternative but to raise to 4 A and Soloway might have been facing four small spades, so he passed.

Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Versace | Meckstroth | Lauria | Rodwell |
| $2 \%$ | pass | 2 | pass |
| 2 V | pass | 2 A | pass |
| 2 NT | pass | $3 \vee$ | pass |
| $4 \%$ | pass | 4 - | pass |
| 4 NT | pass | $5 \%$ | pass |
| 6 a | (all pass) |  |  |

In the Versace-Lauria auction, Versace's 2 rebid was meant as "Kokish," hearts or a giant 2 NT hand. Lauria relayed with $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ and found out that his partner held a forcing 2NT type. He then transferred to 3A! Versace was thrilled, cuebidding 40 and then Blackwooding into slam for 980 .

The next four boards saw two pushes and two overtrick imps go Nickell's way, to reduce the Stallions' lead to a single imp. Then, on board 13, Paul Soloway made an amazing bid, which pushed his opponents into a slam off two cashing aces!


| North dealer All vul |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A K J |  |  |
| All vul | - J982 |  |  |
|  | - AKQ862 |  |  |
|  | \& 9 |  |  |
| West |  |  | East |
| A 1095 |  |  | A A 763 |
| $\checkmark 765$ |  |  | $\checkmark 4$ |
| -10543 |  |  | - 7 |
| \&) A 103 |  |  | \& K Q J 7 |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | A Q 84 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AKQ10 3 |  |  |
|  | - J 9 |  |  |
|  | \& 864 |  |  |
| Open Room: |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Soloway | Fantoni | Hamman | Nunes |
| - | 1 | $2 \%$ | 2 V |
| pass | $3 \%$ | 3 a | double |
| 5 \%* | 5 | pass | 5 |
| pass | 5 | pass | 5 NT |
| pass | 6 | (all pass) |  |

*the amazing bid
Soloway led the A and followed up with a spade shift. Down one.

| $l$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Closed Room: |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Versace | Meckstroth Lauria | Rodwell |  |
| - | $1 \diamond(1)$ | $3 \&(2)$ | $3 \bullet(3)$ |
| $3 \uparrow$ | $4 \vee$ | $4 \uparrow$ | double |

(all pass)
(1) Precision, could be short
(2) the black suits
(3) transfer to hearts

Versace-Lauria exercised damage control on this board when East's 3\% bid showed both black suits, allowing East-West to steal the hand from Meckwell. This was down one, 200 to North-South. Meckwell did nothing seriously wrong, but +200 isn't such a satisfactory result when you are cold for $+650 \ldots$... Still, Soloway's bold $5 \%$ bid was good for 7 imps and the lead.

The Stallions got back two overtrick imps on the next board to reduce the Bulldogs' lead to 4. Then Hamman and Lauria picked up at favorable vul:

$$
\text { A Q } 843 \vee \text { Q6 A } 73 \text { \& J } 975
$$

| South | West | North | East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pass | $1 \uparrow$ | $2 \downarrow$ | $2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}$ |
| $4 \uparrow$ | $4 \uparrow$ | $5 \downarrow$ | $?$ |

What would you call?

| South dealer | North |
| :--- | :--- |
| N-S vul | A 10 |
|  | A K J 94 |
|  | K 82 |
|  | A A 843 |

West
A A K J 965
$\checkmark 2$

- Q 9
\& Q 1062
South
A 72
-108753
- J 10654
$\%$ K

Open Room:

| South | West | North | East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nunes | Soloway | Fantoni | Hamman |
| pass | $1 \uparrow$ | $2 \downarrow$ | $2 \uparrow$ |
| 4 | $4 \uparrow$ | $5 \rightleftharpoons$ | (all pass) |

Hamman passed 5 ${ }^{-1}$, a touch-and-go contract, and led a spade. Soloway falsecarded, winning the A and returning the 6 . Fantoni, North, ruffed, drew trumps, led a club to the king and the J to the 9,2 and ace. Making 650.

If Soloway covers the $\downarrow$ J, North has to guess if Soloway's other diamond is the 9 or 7. But Soloway hoped North had four diamonds and three clubs, and that he had fooled Fantoni with his spade plays. He therefore played the 9 on the jack, expecting Fantoni to go up with the king. Fantoni, however, had only three diamonds and was forced to play Soloway for the $Q$ to make his contract.

Closed Room:

| South | West | North | East |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodwell | Versace | Meckstroth | Lauria |
| pass | 1 A | 2 | 20 |
| 4 | 4 | 5 - | 5 A |
| pass | pass | double | (all pass) |

Meanwhile, Lauria made the winning 5A bid with the East cards. After a normal high-heart opening lead, 5A doubled could not be beaten more than two tricks, -300 , so that was a swing of 8 imps for Cayne.

The Stallions picked up another two overtrick imps on Board 16 to lead by 6 with 16 to go. Conclusion next month.



# Diary of the World Mixed Pairs 

by Matthew Granovetter
Part V - Is it Bridge or Matchpoints?


Verona, Italy - It's the final session of the World Mixed Pairs. I left off last issue reporting another poor result on board 9 for Karen McCallum and me. We went into the final session in second position, but with half the boards played our score is $48 \%$ for the session, which is not a way to win a world championship. We need to make a strong move.

At this point, an Italian, soon to be revealed as a lucky kibitzer, sits down behind me. Franco Broccoli, an Italian journalist, gives me a big smile. I wonder if this means we are still in contention despite our bad performance so far.

On board 10, we bulldoze our way into a 24 -point 3 NT. The bidding is the thing:

| East dealer | North (MG) |
| :--- | :--- |
| All vul | A A 74 |
|  | \& Q 104 |
|  | A 104 |
|  | \& J 92 |


| West |  | East |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AK 63 |  | A 1095 |
| - KJ862 |  | $\checkmark 75$ |
| -972 |  | - K J 86 |
| \& 74 |  | \& K Q 65 |
|  | South (KM) |  |
|  | A J 82 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A93 |  |
|  | - Q 53 |  |
|  | \& A 1083 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | pass | pass |
| pass | $1 \uparrow$ | pass | $2 \%$ |
| pass | 2 NT | pass | 3 NT |
| (all pass) |  |  |  |

I open 1 A in fourth seat with my 13count. Over 3 -card Drury, I rebid 2NT, since this is matchpoints. Partner raises to $3 N T$. Notice we both have nice spot cards after you discount our lowest cards. I hold three 4's and a 2 , then all high ones, and she holds one 2 and three 3 's, and then mostly high ones. Our two decisions, mine to rebid 2 NT and hers to raise are based on these spot cards rather than just point counting. East leads her fourth-best club and I win in hand and clear that suit. East wins and returns a safe club. I then attack spades and when they broke $3-3$, I have six black-suit tricks and two red aces. West, on lead, has to play a red suit to my advantage, so I have nine tricks.

For bidding this game, we receive an $85 \%$ score. All the strict point-counters stopped in 2NT. The Italian journalist, Broccoli, smiles again and puts up four fingers: "Four card majors?" "No," I reply. "Fourth seat."

On board 11, the European Mixed Pairs champs Helness and Helness (husband and wife) came to our table. But I don't know it. As I've written, the women were sitting on the same side of the screens as the men, to our left, and since I did not recognize most of the European women, I rarely knew if
my opponents were strong players. I pick up in third chair, neither side vul:
AK10653 A9 AK9 \& QJ 5
When the bidding tray comes across, I see that my partner has opened another famous McCallum two-bid, this time in hearts. This 20 bid shows $4-10$ points with typically five hearts with any side distribution. This leaves me in a quandary. I have a fine looking 17 HCP and we could be on for 3 NT if she has a nice 8 points or so. But if I asked her how strong she is, by bidding 2NT, where will we land if she is weak? Back in 3V! Ugh. I do not want to play a $5-2$ fit at the three level. Besides, she might
even hold three spades with me. So I bid 2 A , which we play as $0-18$ (yes, that's the range) and four or more spades (if we hold a singleton heart we must scoot out of 2 to a hopefully better contract).

This $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ is not forcing, but partner is supposed to raise with support. (Perhaps you find this convention a little strange - welcome to the club - but remember, this is a convention geared mostly toward matchpoints, and hurting their bidding. Sometimes it hurts ours!)

Before I know it the tray is lifted and I am in 2A. This is the full deal:


| South | West | North | East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 \backsim$ | pass | $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ | (all pass) |

Please do not give this magazine to your children. They might see this auction. What has gone wrong? Why have we missed our 8 -card heart fit and are instead playing in a $5-1$ spade fit? Well, Karen didn't really have a 30 opening bid, and since I removed $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ to $2 \boldsymbol{A}$, there was a good chance I held a singleton heart, so she did not want to go up a level to a possible 6-1
fit. Therefore, she left me to play in spades, hoping I held six of them. A flaw in the system?

Never mind. Matchpoint mavens, please examine the cards. How many tricks do you make in hearts? Answer: nine. The A is offside. The $Q$ is offside. And there is a heart loser and the A to lose. Therefore, those pairs who reach $4 \mathbf{V}$, a most reasonable contract, will be minus 50 . Meanwhile, I am in a cozy $2 \boldsymbol{A}$, and if I can make 110 , I score more matchpoints than those scientific players in $4 \boldsymbol{V}$. (Is this madness, or what?)

Anyway, a diamond is led. I win the trick in dummy and lead a spade to the king. No luck, it loses to the ace. (No! That was luck!) In fact, I should have put in the $\boldsymbol{\wedge} 10$, since I need the ace to be offside to score any matchpoints on this board. East returns a club to the ace and a club. I win in hand and lead another spade. West clears clubs, but I come to hand in hearts to lead still another spade. I triumphantly table my hand, conceding four trump tricks and the \&A, plus 110. "All the key cards were offside," I comment to Broccoli, "which is good." As

I key in the result on the computer scoring device called "bridgemate" (each table has one, connected wirelessly to the main computer), we see that we are receiving about a $45 \%$ score. This is not bad for playing a partscore in a $5-1$ fit when we belong in game in a $6-2$ fit. "This is not bridge," I say to Broccoli, "this is matchpoints." He smiles again and writes it down in Italian.

On the second board of the round, I pick up: A 2 VJ7532- QJ6 69842 .

I figure I can handle this "mitt" better than the previous one, since I won't be in the auction. Wrong again. We are vul vs.
not, and RHO opens 1 A . I pass and LHO raises to $2 \boldsymbol{A}$. My partner doubles for takeout and RHO bids 3 A . I am glad, because otherwise I'd be in another quandary. I generally do not play lebehsohl, and I'm not sure if, when you are playing it (which we are), whether it applies here. In this situation is 2 NT lebensohl or a scramble to find the best trump suit? (Beats me.)

Luckily, I have the opportunity to make a normal pass. LHO passes but when the tray comes back, I see 3 NT from my partner, pass on my right. I remove this to $4 \boldsymbol{V}$. Everyone passes. The full hand is:

| West dealer | North (MG) |
| :--- | :--- |
| N-S vul | \& 2 |
|  | J7532 |
|  | Q J6 |
|  | \& 9842 |

West
A QJ1094
$\checkmark$ Q

- K842
\& A 65

East
A 8765
$\bullet 964$

- 97
\& K J 103

South (KM)
A AK 3

- AK 108
- A 1053
\& Q 7

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \boldsymbol{A}$ | pass | $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ | double |
| $3 \boldsymbol{A}$ | pass | pass | 3 NT |
| pass | $4 \boldsymbol{~}$ | (all pass) |  |

As you can see, this contract is cold and they have missed the opportunity for a sacrifice, as I score 620 for $75 \%$. No doubt the Helness's were so dazzled by our $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ contract on the first board that they didn't trust our bidding on the second board. The journalist scribbles something down, and I
am ready for an aperitif, if not for the fact that there are five more rounds.

On board 13 we get some new opponents, and I pick up:
AJ107654 V K 3 Q652 \&6
I am first seat, all vul. Another quandary. I would never open $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ with these cards normally. But our style is a bit more loose, and I do have some shape, so I decide to do it. It goes pass, pass, 2NT. I pass and my RHO bids $3 \$$, all pass. Somehow, this doesn't look too bad. Did my RHO think 2 NT was for the minors? The full deal:


Gunn and Tor Helness of Norway

| North dealer All vul |  | North (MG) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A J 107654 |  |
|  |  | - K 3 |  |
|  |  | -Q652 |  |
|  |  | \& 6 |  |
| West |  |  | East |
| A AK 3 |  |  | A Q 9 |
| - 7652 |  |  | $\checkmark$ A Q 104 |
| - A 7 |  |  | - J10943 |
| \& QJ 97 |  |  | \& 83 |
|  |  | South (KM) |  |
|  |  | - 82 |  |
|  |  | - J 98 |  |
|  |  | - K 8 |  |
|  |  | \& AK 10542 |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| - 2 | $2 \rightarrow$ | pass |  |
| 2 NT p | pass | 3 | (all pass) |

My partner leads the $\boldsymbol{A}$ and must make a decision when East falsecards with the \%8.* She does not know who has the singleton club. In a case like this, it might be right to underlead in clubs, but that may also kill one of partner's trump tricks. Karen decides I am probably the one with the singleton. She cashes the ace, on which I discard a spade, and then switches to a spade to attack dummy's entries. My $\boldsymbol{A} 10$ forces the queen, revealing my robust suit.

Declarer is anxious to draw trumps now and, figuring I must have something for my bid, leads a diamond to the ace and a diamond, rather than finessing through my partner's honor. This works well ... for

[^0]us. My partner wins the $\checkmark \mathrm{K}$ and continues spades. Declarer is in dummy for the last time. She leads a heart to her queen and the $\$$ J, but I take the trick and return a heart. She draws trump and concedes one heart trick for down one. Plus 100 is worth $88 \%$ to us. I turn to Broccoli and he whispers: "matchpoints eees not bridge."

On the next board of the round my LHO opens an 11 -count and gets into hot water:

| East dealer | North (MG) |
| :--- | :--- |
| None vul | $\boldsymbol{A}$ K 53 |
|  | Q |
|  | Q 8653 |
|  | \& Q 1063 |

West
-AJ742

## East

A 96

- A Q 104
-K 532
$-94$
- A J 10
\& 94
\& K 752
South (KM)
A Q 108
VJ9876
- K 72
\& A 8

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | $1 \mathrm{NT}(11-14)$ | pass |
| $2 \&$ | pass | $2 \backsim$ | pass |
| $4 \boldsymbol{~ ( a l l ~ p a s s ) ~}$ |  |  |  |

If East had only known that had she passed, my partner was about to open $2 \boldsymbol{V}$ !

Well, this is better. Karen begins with a diamond to my queen and ace. Declarer tries a spade to the jack. I win the king and come through in clubs. We score one spade, one diamond, two clubs and a heart trick, for down two, but only a $60 \%$ score. Many pairs are in 3 doubled our way, making three, when the bidding starts pass, pass, 1A, 2NT.

Meanwhile, we have moved up from $48 \%$ to $54 \%$ after only five boards, and there are eight more boards remaining. On board 15, I make a subtle mistake and my opponent takes full advantage:

| South dealer N -S vul |  | North (MG) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A A J 3 |  |
|  |  | $\checkmark 976$ |  |
|  |  | - A J 1097 |  |
|  |  | $\%$ A K |  |
| West |  |  | East |
| A K 5 |  |  | A Q 109 |
| - K 52 |  |  | - A 104 |
| -Q6432 |  |  | - K |
| \& 854 |  |  | \& Q 109762 |
|  |  | South (KM) |  |
|  |  | A 87642 |  |
|  |  | -QJ83 |  |
|  |  | -85 |  |
|  |  | \& J 3 |  |
| South | West | North | East |
| pass p | pass | $1 \checkmark$ | $2 \%$ |
| pass 3 | $3 \%$ | (all pass) |  |

Dummy
AQ652

- Q 98
- A 5
\& A 1082
- Q

> MG
> A A 108
> \& K 7642
> 943
> \& J 6

I have no idea how to play it. We have 20 combined HCP and LHO has shown three with the lead. She probably has one other significant honor. I can hold my trump losers to one if RHO has a doubleton ace, but then I can't ruff a diamond in dummy. So I resign myself to two trump

My hand is a touch too good for a 14-17 notrump, so $I$ open $1 \diamond$. But this allows East to overcall $2 \%$ and buy the hand in $3 \%$. Maybe East would have competed to $3 \%$ over 1NT but maybe not. Karen leads the 8 to my ace. I return a diamond. Declarer discards a heart, winning in dummy, and leads a trump. Here's my error: I win with the king! I then lead a third diamond. Declarer, who knows where the $\% \mathrm{~A}$ is, trumps in with the queen and leads another club, crashing our honors. If I win the first trump trick with the ace, however, she may play me for A-J doubleton. Minus 110 is worth only $20 \%$ for us. I give my opponent a "well done" and pick up my next hand: A A 108 VK7642 •943 \& 6

My RHO opens $1 \diamond$. We are favorable, and I am annoyed with myself from the previous board, so I overcall $1 \boldsymbol{V}$, something I rarely do with such dreck, at any vulnerability. LHO bids $2 \checkmark$ and my partner cuebids $3 \diamond$. Gulp. I retreat to $3 \boldsymbol{V}$ and it goes all pass. Here is the hand (board 16):
losers, one diamond and one club. Can I possibly lose zero spade tricks? If the spade suit is frozen (LHO has the jack and RHO the king), I might have time to develop two club tricks in dummy for discards. But how? If LHO has honor fourth of clubs, I can't do it. If LHO has three clubs to an honor, she can cover my jack. Wait. What if RHO has four clubs to the king and thinks that I have the queen doubleton in my hand....

While all these possibilities run through my mind, I win the $A$ and return a diamond to LHO's jack. Now LHO starts to think. She switches to the AJ. I cover with the queen, king and ace. That could be a doubleton spade, so I lead a trump to the queen, starting to draw trump. RHO wins the ace and returns a spade. I put in the

8, but it loses to the 9 . Good news: LHO returns a third spade and my 10 wins, RHO following. I cash the $\geqslant \mathrm{K}$, ruff a diamond and play dummy's last spade, discarding a club. All they get is one spade, one diamond and two hearts. I never used the club suit after all! The full hand:

|  | Dummy |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A Q 652 |  |
|  | - Q 98 |  |
|  | - A 5 |  |
|  | \& A 1082 |  |
| LHO |  | RHO |
| A J 93 |  | A K 74 |
| - J 103 |  | $\checkmark$ A 5 |
| - QJ 76 |  | - K 1082 |
| \& Q9 4 |  | \& K 753 |
|  | MG |  |
|  | A A 108 |  |
|  | - K 7642 |  |
|  | - 943 |  |
|  | \& J 6 |  |

Scoring 140 is worth $79 \%$, giving us about average for the round.

On board 17, I pick up as dealer, none vul: ^AKQ84マ9654•KQ832\&ー

I open $1 \diamond$. (OK, I have long ago left behind all remnants of bridge - at this point, I am playing strictly matchpoints.) It goes 2 on my left for the majors, 2A by partner, showing a good hand with diamonds, $3 \%$ on my right, introducing a new suit, clubs, into the picture. I pass, which we are playing is the weakest action in any competitive auction. And when the tray comes back, partner has doubled:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | $1 \diamond$ | 2 | $2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}$ |
| $3 \&$ | pass | pass | double |
| pass | $?$ |  |  |

Maybe I should pass this, but I cannot bring myself to do it. I retreat to $3>$ and it goes all pass. The ${ }^{4} 6$ is led:

Board 17 (rotated):

Dummy
A 9

- J 108
- A J 96
\& K Q J 82
LHO RHO
AA107652
A J 3
- KQ732
- 5
$\checkmark$ A
$\% 6$
MG
A K Q 84
$\checkmark 9654$
- KQ832

| MG | LHO | KM | RHO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ | $3 \%$ |
| pass | pass | double | pass |
| 3 | pass | pass (!) | pass |

It was a good pass of $3 \diamond$ by my partner, don't you think? Three clubs doubled would be destroyed with the AK lead but barely down one after a diamond lead. Anyway, in $3 \diamond$ I put up the $\% \mathrm{~K}$ and East lets me win it. I lead a spade to the king and ace. West gets off lead with the $\downarrow \mathrm{K}$, crashing partner's ace. On a low club return, I ruff high, draw trump, ruff out the $\% \mathrm{~A}$ and give up a heart, scoring 130 for $83 \%$. You don't need to get numbers at matchpoints, you only need to make your partscores.

Well, I shouldn't say this. At one table, where Jill and Bobby Levin are NorthSouth, they defend 6\% doubled, down 1400 for $100 \%$ of the matchpoints. More important, they are climbing in the standings....

On the next board, my partner holds: か 654 『10742•KQ3 \& Q95

The opponents bid clubs, hearts and spades, landing in 3NT. Karen naturally leads a top diamond. Dummy has jackfourth and declarer has ace-fourth! So we are minus 460 instead of 430 for a $20 \%$ score. It's another average round and we are hovering between 53 and $54 \%$ for the session, not enough.

There are two rounds to go when board 19 is put on the table. I hold, third seat favorable: A AK $1065 \boldsymbol{\bullet}$ - Q 10753 \& 832 .

My partner opens 10 and the next hand bids $2 \%$. What should I do?

I can overbid with $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ or make a negative double. I choose double and my partner rebids $2 \downarrow$. Then RHO bids $3 *$. I check the vulnerability again. They are vul and we are not. I can double again, hoping

| South dealer E-W vul | North (MG) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A AK 1065 |  |
|  | $\bullet-$ |  |
|  | - Q 10753 |  |
|  | \& 832 |  |
| West |  | East |
| A 43 |  | A Q 872 |
| $\checkmark$ AKQ 3 |  | $\checkmark 10962$ |
| - 8 |  | - J 4 |
| ¢K Q J 1075 |  | \% 964 |
|  | South (KM) |  |
|  | A J 9 |  |
|  | - J8754 |  |
|  | - AK962 |  |
|  | \& A |  |

As you can see, she has her $5 \AA$ cuebid in context of her previous minimum 2* rebid. It's really a great cuebid on the way to 5 and we reach a remarkable 22 point
to get a number against $3 \%$ or I can raise diamonds, or bid spades. It isn't clear how many diamonds partner has. She could even hold three of them if she is 2-5-3-3 shape.

I'm not sure what to do but for some reason it pops in my head to ask myself: What would I do playing bridge? (Rather than matchpoints.) Well, at bridge, when you hold an ace-king suit, a void, and five-card support for partner, you jump. So I jump to $4 \diamond$, the bridge bid. When the tray comes back, my partner has cuebid 5\%!

| South | West | North | East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $2 \%$ | double | pass |
| 2 | $3 \%$ | 4 | pass |
| $5 \%$ | pass | $?$ |  |

This is more interesting. She must hold the ace of clubs for her bid and something decent in diamonds. So I jump again to $6 \star$. This is the deal:
slam. West leads the $\vee$ A. Karen can make 13 tricks by crossruffing, but makes 12 by drawing trump and running the $\mathbf{N J}$. (This is also a good line of play for 13 tricks, finessing through the overcaller.) Plus 920 is good enough for a $90 \%$ score. This board really picks up our spirits, as more kibitzers sit down to watch the last few hands. ...

On the second board of the round I pick up: A K Q J •AK62•A\& Q9762.

All vul, my RHO opens 2 A , showing 5-5 spades and a minor. I overcall 2 NT , not without some misgivings, since a takeout double might work better. What do you think? My partner raises to 3 NT , and everyone passes. I'm fairly sure RHO's minor is diamonds, and I hope for a spade lead. Instead I get a heart lead!


The last board is placed on the table. I pick up, favorable: A 942 • A 32 •AK 6 \& J 952.

It goes $1 \boldsymbol{A}$ on my left, pass, $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ on my right. Should I make a pre-balance? I decide quickly to keep quiet, sticking with the "bridge" strategy, rather than "matchpoint" strategy. LHO thinks and then comes out with 3A. Partner passes and RHO bids 4A. Partner leads the $\boldsymbol{\$} 8$. The full hand:

| East dealer <br> E-W vul | North (MG) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A 942 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 32 |  |  |
|  | - AK 6 |  |  |
|  | \& 5952 |  |  |
| West |  |  | East |
| A 1087 |  |  | A K QJ 65 |
| - Q 10975 |  |  | $\checkmark$ K 4 |
| - Q J |  |  | -9853 |
| \& K 104 |  |  | \& A Q |
|  | South (KM) |  |  |
|  | A A 3 |  |  |
|  | - J 86 |  |  |
|  | -10742 |  |  |
|  | \& 8763 |  |  |
| West N | North | East | South |
| - - | - | 1 A | pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{a}$ | pass | 3 A | pass |
| $4 \boldsymbol{a}$ | (all pass) |  |  |

Opening lead: $\uparrow 8$

We manage to take our four tricks for +100 and $81 \%$. That makes our session total $57.42 \%$ My wife, who coached me for three months prior to this event, and who has just finished her last round with Bob Hamman, comes over to see how we've done. We are still in a daze from all the difficult hands, and we don't know the final score but the director nearby, keeping score on his computer, holds up a one. Then another director comes by and says it's not official but he thinks we are first. We are in shock, despite everything, since it's just too much of a leap to go from playing your hardest to simply being at the mercy of the scoreboard and then hearing that you are first. And it really does not sink in. Suddenly there are hugs and kisses (including Broccoli), photos, etc., etc., and soon the scores are posted officially (with percentage averages for the three final sessions):

| 1. MCCALLUM - GRANOVETTER | $59.28 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. LEVIN - LEVIN | $58.75 \%$ |
| 3. STANSBY - STANSBY | $58.47 \%$ |
| 4. BROWN - MCGANN | $58.07 \%$ |
| 5. HENNER-WELLAND - JACOBUS | $57.79 \%$ |
| 6. GROMOVA - GROMOV | $57.71 \%$ |
| 7. SANBORN - COHEN | $57.48 \%$ |
| 8. ALLOUCHE - GAVIARD - VENTIN | $56.75 \%$ |
| 9. MANCUSO - BAZE | $56.39 \%$ |
| 10. GEMIGNANI - CIMA | $56.37 \%$ |

There were no more hands to be played and it was champagne for dinner.



Kantar's Korner

I have a pen pal of sorts, Dr. Ulrich Auhagen, who lives in Dusseldorf. He's a well known bridge player and bridge author. Try this defensive gem he sent me (imp scoring):

| East dealer <br> All vul |  | North <br> K 1073 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | $\checkmark 642$ |  |
|  |  | - 5432 |  |
|  |  | \& J 4 |  |
| West (you) |  |  |  |
| A QJ9862 |  |  | $W^{N}{ }_{S} \mathrm{E}$ |  |
| -986 |  |  |  |
| \& - |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| - | - | $3 \%$ | double |
| pass | 3 | pass | $4 \%$ |
| pass | 4 | pass | 6 |
| (all pass) |  |  |  |

You decide to lead the $\uparrow Q$, which rides around to the ace, partner playing the 5 , standard count. Declarer cashes the A-K and you follow up the line, showing an even number, while partner follows with the $\$ 3$, and then, after some thought, discards the Q . When declarer continues with two more high hearts, partner discards the J and 10 of diamonds, while dummy discards the $\mathbf{\sim} 7$. Next, declarer plays the A. How do you foresee the defense? (Solution coming up.)

## Keycard Blackwood Korner

Question: Can you ask for the queen of trump if you are looking at it?

Answer: Yes! Suppose you are responder with: $\boldsymbol{A} A x \vee K x x x x \rightarrow A J \& Q x$

| Partner | You |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1 \Leftarrow$ | 2 NT (Jacoby, agrees hearts) |
| $4 \vee$ (bal minimum) | 4 NT (RKB 1430) |
| $5 \&$ (one) | 5 (queen ask) |

If partner has the $Q$, which is likely, he will show his cheapest king in the response. This will give you a head start in finding out which kings, if any, partner has. If partner happens to bid $5 \boldsymbol{A}$, you bid 5 NT , asking partner for any other king he may have. Partner happened to have:

## 

After 5 NT partner would bid $6 \vee$, denying a minor-suit king.

Defense Solution: Partner, holding this hand: 54 - 3 QJ $10 * K 1097632$, and suspecting you are void in clubs, has made three spectacular unblocks in diamonds to avoid being thrown in with the third round of the suit. If thrown in with a diamond, East has to lead a black card and give declarer the rest of the tricks. Declarer held: A A PAKQJ 10 AK 7 \& AQ 85

Now it's your turn to make two spetacular unblocks. You have to unblock the $\$-8$ under the $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{K}$, allowing declarer to score the $\quad 7$ ! A Greek Gift if there ever was one. Now declarer has to break clubs from his own hand and East winds up taking two club tricks. If South leads low to the jack, East wins and exits with the $\boldsymbol{\$ 1 0}$, the 9 becoming the setting trick. If South leads the $\boldsymbol{N}^{\mathrm{Q}}$, East ducks and winds up taking two club tricks. Bravo West, Bravo East, and thank you, Dr. Auhagen. Ciao.


The Wizard of Aus in the Vanderbilt<br>by Ron Klinger

Australia's Ishmael Del'Monte shone in the Vanderbilt this year, where his team lost narrowly in the semi-finals. Here are some interesting deals from both semi-final matches.

On deal 10 each table played in 40 doubled. Only Del'Monte produced the killing defense as West. First we'll look at the other semi-final match:

West dealer
All vul

> A 1092 $\vee$ K $\leqslant 1053$ $\&$ A J 10972

A K Q 8

- Q98
- K QJ 98
\& Q 5
A A 7643
$\checkmark 3$
-A 742
\& 864

A J 5

- AJ1076542
- 6
\& K 3

CHANG vs HOLLMAN

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 NT | pass | $2 \boldsymbol{\%}$ | $3 \downarrow$ |
| pass | $4 \ominus$ | double | (all pass) |

Opening lead: $\downarrow \mathrm{K}$

East followed with the 7 and West continued with the Q , a fatal move. Declarer ruffed, played a heart to the king, ruffed a diamond to hand and cashed the A . The Q did not fall, but declarer was still all right. He continued with the $\uparrow \mathrm{K}$ and a club to the queen and ace. The $\% \mathrm{~J}$ allowed South to discard a spade as West ruffed. South lost only one spade, one heart and one diamond for +790 .

| At the other table: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Grue | Zhao | Cheek | Fu |
| 1 NT | double (1) | 2 (2) | pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ | pass | pass | 4 - |
| pass | pass | double | (all pass) |
| (1) One-suiter, any suit |  |  |  |
| (2) Transfer to spades |  |  |  |

Failing to appreciate the danger of dummy's clubs, West switched to a trump at trick 2. Declarer played a club to the king, cashed the A, followed by the 3 , for the same discard of a spade loser. +790 and no swing.

| Now Del'Monte's match: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| West dealer | A 1092 |
| All vul | $\checkmark$ K |
|  | - 1053 |
|  | \& A J 10972 |
| A K Q 8 | A A 7643 |
| - Q98 | N ${ }^{\text {N }}$ |
| -KQJ98 | $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{E}$ - A742 |
| * Q 5 | \& 864 |
| A J 5 |  |
| - A J 1076542 |  |
| -6 |  |
| \& K 3 |  |


| SHUGART vs WELLAND |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
| Welland | Shugart | Fallenius | Brogeland |
| 1 NT | pass | $2 \backsim(1)$ | pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{a}$ | pass | pass | $4 \backsim$ |
| pass | pass | double | (all pass) |

(1) transfer to spades

Opening lead: $\downarrow \mathrm{K}$
East played the 7 and West continued with the $\$ \mathrm{~J}$. Declarer ruffed and followed the same line of play as at the previous tables for +790 .

At the other table:


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Del'Monte | Stansby | Mittelman | Martel |
| 1 NT | pass | $2 \vee(1)$ | $3 \backsim$ |
| pass | pass | double (2) | pass |
| $3 \uparrow$ | pass | pass | $4 \smile$ |
| double | (all pass) |  |  |

(1) transfer to spades
(2) takeout

Opening lead: $\downarrow \mathrm{K}$
East played the 2 (upside-down) and Del'Monte had to decide how to continue.

It does not matter whether you play lowencouraging or high-encouraging or give count signals, West can tell that a second round of diamonds is not attractive. With A-x East would have overtaken the $\downarrow K$ and returned a diamond. Therefore, assuming East has the $A$ on the bidding, it will be $A-x-x$ or $A-x-x-x$. That means the defense will take two diamond tricks at most.

West can see a trump trick, one or two diamonds and so at least one spade trick is needed. Recognizing the palpable threat of dummy's clubs, Del'Monte shifted to the - K at trick 2. Declarer dropped the $\boldsymbol{A} \mathrm{J}$, but as East had played the $\boldsymbol{A} 7$, reverse count to show an odd number of spades, Del'Monte knew to continue with the $\uparrow \mathrm{Q}$.

He then reverted to the $Q$, ruffed, and waited to collect the $Q \mathrm{Q}$ for one down. That was +200 and +14 imps to SHUGART.

On board 17, Del'Monte and his partner, George Mittelman, were the only players to bid game at the four tables in play:

| East dealer | A A 106 |  | West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None vul | - A 743 |  | Stansby | Mittelman | Martel | Del'Monte |
|  | - Q J 8 |  | - | - | pass | 1 |
|  | \& 854 |  | pass | $1 \checkmark$ | pass | 1 NT |
| A 542 |  | A QJ98 | pass | 3 NT | (all pass) |  |
| $\checkmark 95$ | $w^{N} \mathrm{E}$ | - J 10862 |  |  |  |  |
| - AK 652 | S | -7 | Opening | ad: \%K |  |  |

AK73

- K Q
- 10943
\& A J 103
SHUGART vs WELLAND

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shugart | Fallenius | Brogeland | Welland |
| - | - | pass | $1 \&$ |
| 1 | double* $^{*}$ | pass | 1 |
| pass | 1 NT | (all pass) |  |

*hearts

Opening lead from East: $\uparrow \mathrm{Q}$
Declarer took the $\boldsymbol{\wedge} \mathrm{K}$ in dummy and led a diamond. West rose with the $\checkmark \mathrm{K}$ and continued spades. Declarer finished with eight tricks for +120 . At the other table:

| Semi-finalists |
| :--- |
|  |
| SHUGART |
| Rita Shugart of California |
| Boye Brogeland of Norway |
| Ishmael Del' Monte of Australia |
| George Mitleman of Toronto |
| Tedashi Teramoto of Japan |
|  |
| WELLAND |
| Roy Welland of New York |
| Bjorn Fallenius, of New York, formerly Sweden |
| Chip Martel of California |
| Lew Stansby of California |
| Adam Zmudzinski of Poland |
| Cezary Balicki of Poland |


| East dealer | AK832 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All vul | -K543 |  |
|  | - Q 85 |  |
|  | \& 53 |  |
| A Q 74 |  | A AJ5 |
| - Q98 | $W^{N} E$ | $\checkmark 62$ |
| -9743 | S | - J |
| \& 964 |  | \& A K Q J 1082 |
|  | A 1096 |  |
|  | - A J 107 |  |
|  | - AK 1062 |  |
|  | \& 7 |  |

At two tables in separate matches East opened the bidding, showing clubs, and South overcalled in diamonds. In each case East ended in $4 \%$, one down. At the other tables both Souths reached 4V.

| CHANG vs HOLLMAN |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Zhao | Cheek | Fu | Grue |
| - | - | 1 NT (1) | double (2) |
| pass | 2 (3) | 38 | pass |
| pass | $3 \vee$ | pass | 4 - |
| (all pass) |  |  |  |

(1) interesting bid
(2) minor + major
(3) Pick a major

Opening lead from East: ¢K
East switched to the $\downarrow \mathrm{J}$, won in dummy. Next came the $\mathbf{V}$ K and a heart to the ten. West shifted to the $\boldsymbol{\wedge} 7,2$, jack, and declarer was one down for -100 and -5 imps .

SHUGART vs WELLAND

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stansby | Mittelman Martel | Del'Monte |  |
| - | - | $1 \&$ | double |
| pass | $3 \&(1)$ | $4 \AA$ | 4 |
| (all pass) |  |  |  |

(1) invitational, both majors

Opening lead: $¢ 4$
North's $3 \%$ was certainly not a timid effort. East won the club lead with the king and continued with the A, ruffed. The 4\& bid persuaded Del'Monte that East was the one more likely to be short in hearts and so the A was followed by the 10 , $9,4,6$. The rest was smooth sailing for 10 tricks, +620 and +11 imps.

## No Heart Beat for Ish

On this deal, board 39, the East players had a tough decision to make on defense. If you want to share their problem, put yourself in the East chair:

| South dealer | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All vul | A J 4 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 8643$ |  |
|  | - A 108 |  |
|  | \& AK 84 |  |
|  |  | East (you) |
|  | $W^{N}{ }_{S}{ }^{\text {E }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } 8752 \\ & \text { } J 109 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | - Q 9 |
|  |  | \& J 952 |

The bidding, with East-West silent, goes 1 by South, 1 $\downarrow$, 1NT, 3NT. West leads the $\boldsymbol{1} 10,4,2$, queen, and South continues with the $4,6,10$, queen. What do you play next as East?

| South dealer All vul | $r \quad$ a J 4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | マ8643 |  |  |
|  | - A 108 |  |  |
|  | \% AK 84 |  |  |
| A A 1093 |  |  | A 8752 |
| $\checkmark \mathrm{K}$ Q 7 | $W_{S}^{N} E$ |  | - J 109 |
| - K 63 |  |  | - Q 9 |
| \& 763 |  |  | \& J 952 |
| A K Q 6 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A 52 |  |  |  |
| - J 7542 |  |  |  |
| \% Q 10 |  |  |  |
| SHUGART vs WELLAND |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Zmudzinski | Brogeland | Balicki | Shugart |
| Teramoto | Stansby | Del'Monte | Martel |
| - | - | - | $1 \checkmark$ |
| pass | $1 \checkmark$ | pass | 1 NT |
| pass | 3 NT | (all pass) |  |

Japan's Teramoto (West) led the 10,4 , 2, queen and Martel played the $\$ 4,6,10$, queen, giving Del'Monte a problem. Should he return partner's lead or switch to a heart?

The heart shift appeals because dummy bid hearts and has turned up with a worthless suit. On the other hand West might have five spades, perhaps even A-10-9-x-x. Now a spade return defeats the contract at once. Another possibility is that South started with AA-Q doubleton and it could be vital to knock out the A before declarer has set up all his tricks.

Some players use "Smith Peters" to try to help each other in this kind of situation: On declarer's first play of a new suit, each defender plays high-low if they like the opening suit led or lowest if they have little enthusiasm for that suit. Some play "Reverse Smith," where low on declarer's new-suit play encourages the original suit and high is discouraging of the suit led: low like, high hate.

Smith Peters can be useful, but sometimes you cannot afford the relevant card; sometimes, as here, it is not clear whether you want the suit continued or a switch and sometimes you do not have a chance to signal, because you have to win the trick.

There is no doubt that Del'Monte had a dilemma as to the best play at trick three. He went for the spade return to the ace and Teramoto played a third spade. Declarer won and continued with a diamond to the ace and a third diamond. Teramoto took the $\bullet \mathrm{K}$ and was able to cash a spade, but Martel had two spades, a heart, three diamonds and three clubs for +600 .

Had Teramoto switched to a top heart after the $\boldsymbol{A}$, the contract might have been defeated. Declarer did have the double dummy line of winning the A and playing the J to pin the 9 .

At the other table Zmudzinski (West) began with the $\boldsymbol{\wedge} 9$, promising an interior sequence. Shugart won with the NJ and played A, $9,2,3$, followed by the $\$ 8$, queen, 4, 6. Balicki switched to the $\mathbf{J}$, ducked, and continued hearts. Shugart took the $\checkmark \mathrm{A}$ and knocked out the $\checkmark \mathrm{K}$, but Zmudzinski could cash the $\mathbf{V}$ and $\boldsymbol{A}$ for one down and +12 imps .

In the Chang vs. Hollman match, at one table North (Ron Smith) rebid 2\&, checkback, South (Billy Cohen) showed three hearts, and North bid only 2NT. Then South bid 3NT. West (Hallberg) led the A 10 . Declarer led a diamond to the 10 and queen, and Chang (East) returned a spade. Hallberg won the ace and continued spades, so declarer had nine tricks. In fact, Cohen led another diamond to the 8 and 9 , guarding against West holding four diamonds. At the other table in that match, the auction
was the same as in the first match. South won the 10 lead with the queen and led a diamond to the 10 and queen. There East shifted to the JJ, West overtook with the queen and continued with the $\mathbf{V}$ K. When declarer led a diamond to the ace, he was down one.

Well, I Do Declare
On the following deals the player with the critical decision held both minors and had to decide whether to show both. On board 41 only one pair reached game and they were probably pushed there:

West dealer
A AK 62 N -S vul


- A J 9
\& A Q 103
$\wedge 984$
$\sim Q 1098642$
$* Q$
$\sim 82$

A Q J 107
- A 53
- K 532
\& J 4
A 53
$\checkmark$ K
- 108764
\& K K 9765

At three tables the bidding went:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Smith | Chang | Cohen | Hallberg |
| Brogeland | Balicki | Shugart | Zmudzinski |
| Stansby | Del'Monte | Martel | Teramoto |
| $3 \boldsymbol{\text { lom }}$ | double | pass | 4 |
| (all pass) |  |  |  |

On the actual layout $5 \boldsymbol{q}^{6}$ is an easy make, thanks to the $Q$ with West. On the bidding it would have caused no surprise if East had begun with $\$$ K-Q-x-x. You can see why South would not be enthusiastic. The $\geqslant \mathrm{K}$ figures to be waste paper and that leaves South with very little.

If South did want to make sure of reaching the right game, 4 NT in reply to the double could ask North to choose the longer minor. Each table made 11 tricks for +150 .

Note East's pass over the double in the hope that South might bid spades. The one East who did bid $4 V$ was soon regretting the decision:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fu | Grue | Zhao | Cheek |
| $3 \backsim$ | double | $4 \backsim$ | pass |
| pass | double | pass | 4NT (1) |
| pass | $5 \%$ | (all pass) |  |

(1) Choose a minor, partner.

Opening lead: $\uparrow \mathbf{Q}$
Declarer won, drew trumps ending in dummy and led a low diamond. That led to +600 and +10 imps .

On board 50 every pair reached game, but two were in the wrong one:

| North dealer | A 109 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E-W vul | $\checkmark 73$ |  |
|  | - KJ 64 |  |
|  | \& Q 7654 |  |
| A Q 864 |  | A AJ732 |
| - J 865 | $w^{N} E$ | $\checkmark 1094$ |
| - Q 73 | S | -10952 |
| * A 9 |  | \& 2 |
|  | A K 5 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AKQ 2 |  |
|  | - A 8 |  |
|  | \& K J 1083 |  |

The unsuccessful auctions went:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fu | Grue | Zhao | Cheek |
| Stansby | Del'Monte | Martel | Teramoto |
| - | pass | pass | 2 NT |
| pass | 3 NT | (all pass) |  |

At both tables West began with a spade and the defense was able to collect four spades and the A for one down. The contract you want to be in is $5 \kappa^{\circ}$, but how can you find that after the 2 NT opening?

The $3 \boldsymbol{A}$ response to 2 NT is commonly used to show both minors, but usually with a suggestion of slam potential. It would have worked here as simply a minor-suit hand, game or better. South would bid 4** with such excellent club support and North would sign off in $5 \%$. With only moderate values and a semi-balanced hand it is not surprising that neither North bid 3 A .

The successful auctions started with a opening:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Smith | Chang | Cohen | Hallberg |
| - | pass | pass | $1 \%$ |
| pass | $2 \&$ | pass | $2 \%$ |
| pass | $3 \%$ | pass | $5 \%$ |
| (all pass) |  |  |  |

Hallberg's 1\% was natural and led to the best contract.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Brogeland | Balicki | Shugart | Zmudzinski |
| - | pass | pass | $1 \%(1)$ |
| pass | $1 \leftrightarrow(2)$ | pass | $2 \%$ |
| pass | $3 \%(3)$ | pass | $3 \backsim(4)$ |
| pass | $5 \%$ | (all pass) |  |

(1) artificial, forcing
(2) artificial, negative reply
(3) diamond values and club support
(4) stopper in hearts

Both tables made 11 tricks and collected 10 imps .

Minor Disagreement
With 16 boards to go, the Shugart team led Welland by $127-95$. The lead was 128 105 when board 52 appeared:


Brogeland

| East dealer | A 109852 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All vul | $\checkmark 876$ |  |
|  | - AK 1062 |  |
|  | \&- |  |
| A 3 |  | A 764 |
| - K 109 | $W^{N} E$ | -QJ543 |
| - 9 | S | - Q 7 |
| \& AJ97643 |  | \& K Q 10 |
|  | A A K Q J |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 2 |  |
|  | -8543 |  |
|  | ¢8 82 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Balicki | Shugart | Zmudzinski Brogeland |  |
| - | - | pass | 1 |
| $3 \&$ | 3 | (all pass) |  |

## Opening lead: $\boldsymbol{\wedge}$

Declarer won and drew trumps. When they were 2-2 he had 12 tricks for +190 . What do you think of North's $3 \diamond$ response?

The 3 response here would be played as around 6-9 points and $4+$ support. North has 7 HCP, but with a fifth trump and a void in the enemy suit, the hand is worth much more. Standard shortage count with a good trump fit is 5 for a void, 3 for a singleton and 1 for a doubleton. This has always seemed conservative to me. Since one trick equals three points, counting 3 for a singleton means you feel that only one extra trick can be scored by ruffing. I suggest to students that when they have $4^{+}$trump support, count 6 for a void, 4 for a singleton and 2 for a doubleton.

Even counting the basic 5 for a void, the North hand is worth 12 points. With seven losers it would be pushy but not farfetched for North to jump to 5 over $3 \$$. If you are good enough to bid $5 \star$, then you must also have enough for $3 \boldsymbol{A}$, forcing, and


Opening lead: $\AA^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$
Declarer made 13 tricks, following a similar line to Martel's for a one-imp gain. Note that both North players produced a 2 -over1 response with only 7 HCP . They were buoyed by excellent support for diamonds.

Finally, try this bidding problem. Suppose you are South in third seat, all vul, with: A $8643 \bigcirc$ A $87 \diamond 108$ \& K 76

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | pass | $1 \propto$ | $?$ |

What would you do in this position?
The following advice was published in my daily newspaper column in Australia about a month before the Vanderbilt: "After pass from partner and an opening bid on your right, there is little value in overcalling on weak values or doubling with a balanced

11-12 points. You have little chance of winning the auction and, aside from the risk of a penalty, taking action simply allows declarer to place the cards more accurately."

The South players in the semi-finals of the 2006 Vanderbilt would have done well to heed this advice on board 61:

| North dealer | A 105 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All vul | - J 10652 |  |
|  | - K Q 3 |  |
|  | \& 1053 |  |
| AK QJ972 |  | A - |
| $\checkmark 3$ | $w^{N} E$ | -KQ94 |
| -9654 | ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | - A J 72 |
| ¢98 |  | * A Q J 42 |
|  | A A 8643 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 87 |  |
|  | -108 |  |
|  | \& K 76 |  |

CHANG vs HOLLMAN

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hallberg | Smith | Chang | Cohen |
| - | pass | $1 \&$ | $1 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}$ |
| pass | pass | double | (all pass) |

Hallberg led the 3 : jack, queen, ace. Declarer played the 10 to the king, ducked. On the $\$ 3$ from dummy, East rose with the ace and continued with the *Q,* taken by the king. South exited with a club,** West pitching a diamond.

East took the $\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{\mathrm{J}}$, cashed the $\mathrm{VK}^{\mathrm{K}}$, West discarding another diamond, and gave West a heart ruff. Then came the $\boldsymbol{\wedge} \mathrm{K}$, ducked, the $\boldsymbol{\wedge}$ also ducked and the $\boldsymbol{\wedge}$. Declarer was able to score just one trick in each suit for down three and -800. At the other table:

[^1]| North dealer All vul | A 105 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - J 10652 |  |
|  | - K Q 3 |  |
|  | \& 1053 |  |
| A K Q 972 |  | A - |
| $\checkmark 3$ | $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{E}$ | - KQ94 |
| -9654 |  | - AJ 72 |
| \%98 |  | \& A Q J 42 |
|  | A A 8643 |  |
|  | - A 87 |  |
|  | -108 |  |
|  | \& K 76 |  |
| West North | East | South |
| Cheek Fu | Grue | Zhao |
| pass | $1 \%$ (1) | 1 (2) |
| $1 \boldsymbol{\sim}$ pass | $2 \%$ | pass |
| 2 a pass | 2 NT | pass |
| $3 \boldsymbol{A}$ pass | 4 A | (all pass) |
| (1) Artificial, forcing |  |  |
| (2) Artificial interv | vention, spades | or minors |

Opening lead: |  |
| :---: |
| $K$ |

There are many popular defensive methods against artificial and forcing 1\% openings. Some like to play that a suit bid shows that suit and the next one along. Others prefer to use a denial bid to show the next suit along or the two suits beyond that. South's 1- bid here can show spades or both minors.

You can see one benefit here of keeping quiet with a poor 5 -card suit. Sometimes the opponents end up playing in your suit. That way you need only take four tricks for a plus score, while you have to take seven if you bid at the one-level.

Declarer took the $\checkmark \mathrm{K}$ and played the $\uparrow$ K. South won, returned a diamond to the queen and ruffed the next diamond. The A A meant one down and North-South +100 and +14 imps. So what would you prefer:
pass and collect +100 or bid 1A and go -800? Tough choice, right? The Chang team went on to win the match and the final against the Welland team, reported earlier this year in Bridge Today.

SHUGART vs WELLAND

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Teramoto | Stansby | Del'Monte | Martel |
| - | pass | $1 \&$ | $1 \uparrow$ |
| pass | pass | double | (all pass) |

Opening lead: 99
East took the $\& \mathrm{~A}$ and returned the $\& \mathrm{Q}$. South won and played a diamond to the king, ducked. The 3 from dummy was won by the jack.* East cashed the $\% \mathrm{~J}$, West shedding the $\vee 3$. Next came the $\downarrow K, \vee A$, ruffed. West continued with the $\boldsymbol{A K}, ~ \uparrow \mathrm{~J}$, $\wedge 9$, all ducked. Then came the $>9, ~ Q$, $\checkmark$ A, ruffed, and South had the $\uparrow A$ to come. That gave South one club, one diamond and two trump tricks for three down and -800 . At the other table:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zmudzinski | Brogeland | Balicki | Shugart |
| - | pass | $1 \&$ | $1 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}$ |
| pass | pass | double | pass |
| pass | 1 NT | double | pass |
| pass | $2 \backsim$ | double | (all pass) |

Opening lead: $\boldsymbol{V}_{4}$
The $\checkmark 7$ won trick one and a diamond went to the king and ace. East shifted to the $\& \mathrm{Q}$ and the $\stackrel{\%}{ }$ held. The A was cashed, followed by a diamond to the queen and a diamond ruff. Declarer played the $\boldsymbol{A} A$, ruffed by East, and so declarer escaped for only -200. That was 12 imps to Shugart. Nevertheless, Welland won the match in the end.

[^2]
[^0]:    *This play is not as easy as it looks. In a pair event, especially an international one, declarer must check our convention card to see if we play standard or up-side-down carding before making this falsecard. As it happened, our convention cards had been lost by this point in the tournament! Declarer simply made the natural falsecard and it worked.

[^1]:    *Cashing the V first would be better. - editor
    **Exiting with a diamond would be better. - editor

[^2]:    *A heart to the ace would be better. - editor

